california CCS coalition

A Voice for California's CCS Industry

SUPPORTING THE DEPLOYMENT OF CCS TECHNOLOGIES THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA



california CCS coalition

- Created to represent CCS industry to ensure CCS is part of state's carbon stabilization program.
- Bring voices to the table throughout the state to demonstrate that CCS is practical, effective and safe.
- Represent CCS interests in the legislative and regulatory arena, and educate key constituencies and organizations about CCS.
- Increase awareness of CCS; encourage the deployment of CCS and incentives for CCS development

Coalition board members

- Sempra Energy
- Clean Energy Systems
- Shell
- Hydrogen Energy California
- Southern California Edison
- Chevron
- Aera Energy
- Western States Petroleum Association
- Occidental Petroleum

CCS Review Panel

- Report released late 2010
 - Identified, discussed, and framed specific policies addressing the role of CCS in meeting the state's energy needs and greenhouse gas reduction goals.
 - Reviewed CCS policy frameworks used elsewhere, and identified gaps, alternatives, and applicability in California.
 - Developed specific committee recommendations on CCS
- Topics covered
 - · Permitting structure and Regulatory Regime
 - Monitoring, Reporting, Verification (MRV)
 - Long-term liability/stewardship
 - Property Rights/Pore-space ownership
- EOR vs. Sequestration only (Class II, VI, etc)
 - AB 32 and CCS protocol development, credit for CCS, etc

Legislative Efforts

- SB 669 (Rubio) Introduced as "Intent Bill" in February 2011
- Amended in March 2011 in order to get referred to Policy Committee
- Choice made to make "2 year bill" in April 2011
- http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/11-12/bill/sen/sb_0651-0700/sb_669_bill_20110323_amended_sen_v98.html

11/4/2011

5

Going Forward

- Coalition Board of Directors endorses renewed effort on CCS Legislation
- Senator Rubio committed to moving legislation in 2012
- Legislative Vehicle unknown at this time
 - Either SB 669 or related Rubio legislation
- Legislation will be more focused (cont...)

11/4/2011

6

Going Forward (cont...)

- Focused on near-term "must haves"
 - Strong Findings and Declarations Section
 - Clear and Consistent Definitions
 - Permitting/Regulatory Authority
 - Pore space
- Additional legislation likely needed at some point
- Possibly for: long-term liability, underground trespass, eminent domain for pipelines, "low11/4/2011 carbon" power policy

Montgomery p.4